## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WISCONSIN

MIRIAM BRIGGS-MUHAMMAD,

Plaintiff,

ORDER

ν.

BELVERLY LEWIS, et al.,

13-cv-639-wmc App. No. 14-1450

Defendants.

Plaintiff Miriam Briggs-Muhammad filed a civil action alleging that one of the defendants threatened to terminate her federally-funded housing benefits (a housing voucher) in violation of her civil rights. In December 2013, the court dismissed the original complaint without prejudice for failure to articulate sufficient facts in support of a viable claim. On January 29, 2014, this court dismissed plaintiff's amended complaint as legally frivolous and for failure to state a claim upon which relief may be granted. Briggs-Muhammad has now filed a notice of appeal. Because she has not paid the \$505.00 appellate docketing fee, she presumably requests leave to proceed *in forma pauperis* on appeal.

In determining whether a litigant is eligible to proceed in forma pauperis on appeal, the court must find that she is indigent and, in addition, that the appeal is taken in good faith for purposes of Fed. R. App. P. 24(a)(3). See 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a)(3) ("An appeal may not be taken in forma pauperis if the court certifies in writing that it is not taken in good faith."). Although Briggs-Muhammad appears to qualify as indigent, the court cannot certify that the appeal is taken in good faith. In that respect, the United States Court of Appeals for the Seventh Circuit has instructed district courts to find bad faith where a plaintiff is appealing claims that have been dismissed as frivolous. See Lee v. Clinton, 209 F.3d 1025, 1026-27 (7th

Cir. 2000). To the extent that Briggs-Muhammad is attempting to raise on appeal the same

legally frivolous claims she raised in her complaint, the court certifies that the appeal is not

taken in good faith for purposes of Fed. R. App. P. 24(a)(3). Accordingly, her implicit

request for leave to proceed in forma pauperis on appeal must be denied.

**ORDER** 

IT IS ORDERED that:

1. The court CERTIFIES that the appeal is not taken in good faith for purposes of

Fed. R. App. P. 24(a)(3).

2. Plaintiff Miriam Briggs-Muhammad's request for leave to proceed in forma pauperis

on appeal is DENIED.

3. Although this court has certified that the appeal is not taken in good faith under

Fed. R. App. P. 24(a)(3), Briggs-Muhammad is advised that she may challenge this

finding pursuant to Fed. R. App. P. 24(a)(5), by filing a separate motion to

proceed in forma pauperis on appeal with the Clerk of Court, United States Court

of Appeals for the Seventh Circuit, within thirty (30) days of the date of this

order.

Entered this 3rd day of March, 2014.

BY THE COURT:

/s/

WILLIAM M. CONLEY

District Judge

2